

Ordinances

TCAA Riley Fletcher Seminar, 2013

David S. Johnson,
Assistant City Attorney, Arlington

Overview

- I. Differences between Ordinances and Resolutions
- II. Drafting Enforceable Ordinances

I. Differences between Ordinances and Resolutions

- 1. What is an Ordinance?**
- 2. What is a Resolution?**
- 3. Examples of state laws requiring an ordinance**
- 4. Examples of state laws requiring a resolution**

What is an Ordinance?

An ordinance is:

- a local law that usually regulates persons or property and usually relates to a matter of general and permanent nature**
- more formal and authoritative than a resolution**
- usually required by city charter to be considered by the governing body at more than one meeting**

What is a Resolution?

A resolution is:

- like an ordinance in that it is a formal action by the governing body
- less formal than an ordinance
- usually requires consideration by the governing body at only one meeting
- usually authorizes action on an accompanying document

Examples of state law requiring an ordinance:

- Local Gov't Code (LGC) § 253.001(c) requires an ordinance directing execution of documents for sale and conveyance of park land, municipal building site, or abandoned roadway
- Tax Code § 311.003 requires an ordinance for designation of a reinvestment zone

Examples of state law requiring a resolution:

- LGC § 375.022(c)(6) requires a resolution of the governing body of a municipality in support of the creation of a municipal management district
- LGC § 334.021 requires a resolution providing for and designating the method(s) of financing a sports and community venue project

Are there state law requirements regarding adoption and enforcement of ordinances?

Yes, a few.

- Adoption of Municipal Ordinances – Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 52 – Subchapter A
- Publication of Ordinances – Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 52 – Subchapter B
- Codification of Municipal Ordinances – Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 53

- **Enforcement of Municipal Ordinances/Fines & Penalties – Local Government Code, Chapter 54 – Subchapter A**
- **Enforcement of Municipal Ordinances/Civil Action; Injunction; Civil Penalty – Local Government Code, Chapter 54 – Subchapter B**
- **Quasi-Judicial Enforcement of Health and Safety Ordinances; Local Government Code, Chapter 54 – Subchapter C**

II. Drafting Enforceable Ordinances

1. **Draft ordinances with affirmative defenses and defenses, not exceptions**
2. **Clearly label affirmative defenses, defenses, and exceptions**
3. **Draft ordinances to provide separate subsections for the offense, affirmative defenses, defenses, and exceptions**
4. **Dispense with culpable mental states**
5. **Avoid ordinance cross references and references to specific state law provisions**

1. Affirmative defenses and defenses, not exceptions

- **Affirmative defenses, defenses, and exceptions all establish circumstances in which the ordinance does not apply.**
- **Prosecution must prove all elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, which includes negating exceptions, Tex. Penal Code (PC) §§ 2.01, 2.02(b), 1.07(a)(22). This can be a very difficult burden.**
- **Prosecution is not required to negate affirmative defenses and defenses. PC §§ 2.03(b), 2.04(b).**

Complaints and Trial in Municipal Court

- **Complaints describe unlawful conduct and charge the defendant with an offense.**
Tex. Code of Crim. Proc. art. 45.018(a).
- **Complaints must list all elements of the offense.**
Villarreal v. State, 729 S.W.2d 348, 349 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1987);
PC § 1.07(a)(22).
- **Because exceptions are elements of an offense, drafting exceptions into an ordinance can make the trial complaint very lengthy, complicated, and difficult to prove.**

2. Clearly label affirmative defenses, defenses, and exceptions

- Do use the following clear, legal wording from PC §§ 2.02-2.04:
 - It is an affirmative defense to prosecution ...
 - It is a defense to prosecution ...
 - It is an exception to the application of ...

2. Clearly label affirmative defenses, defenses, and exceptions [cont'd]

- Do not use the following wording to signify an affirmative defense, defense, or exception:
 - Unless ...
 - Except as provided by ...
 - This section does not apply to ...

3. Draft ordinances with separate subsections for offense, affirmative defenses, defenses, and exceptions

EXAMPLE

ARLINGTON ANIMALS CHAPTER

Section 4.10 Riding, Driving or Herding of Certain Animals

- A. A person commits an offense if he rides, herds or drives any horse, cow, sheep, goat, pig or llama:**
- 1. On a public sidewalk; or**
 - 2. On any private or public property without the effective consent of the owner of such property.**
- B. It is an affirmative defense to prosecution under this section that the person was a peace officer or animal services officer in the performance of his official duties; or the person was assisting a peace officer or animal services officer in the performance of his official duties.**

4. Dispense with Culpable Mental States (CMS)

■ Culpable mental states:

- 1. intentional,**
- 2. knowing,**
- 3. reckless,**
- 4. criminal negligence.**

PC §§ 6.02-6.03.

General CMS requirement and key exception to the rule

- **General Rule:** a CMS is required for an offense, even if the law defining the offense does not mention a CMS, unless the law explicitly dispenses with the CMS, thus making it a strict liability offense. PC § 6.02(a), (b), (c).
- **Exception:** many city ordinance violations may actually be strict liability offenses even if the law is silent as to the CMS. There is a multi-prong test to determine if an ordinance-based offense is actually a strict liability offense under these circumstances.
Aguirre v. State, 22 S.W.3d 463, 472-476 (Tex. Crim. App. 1999).

Fine ranges and culpable mental states

- The general fine range for a city ordinance violation is \$1-\$500, however, the fine range for a city ordinance governing fire safety, zoning, or public health and sanitation can be \$1-\$2,000. LGC § 54.001(b).
- “An offense defined by municipal ordinance ... may not dispense with the requirement of a [CMS] if the offense is punishable by a fine exceeding [\$500].”
PC §§ 6.02(f), 12.23.

- **A city is not required to draft a CMS into all ordinances carrying a fine exceeding \$500.**

See Example Penalty Ordinance in the attached paper.

- **Prosecution may dispense with the CMS for an ordinance violation that would otherwise carry a fine exceeding \$500, if at trial, the Prosecution requests a maximum fine of \$500.** *Roarke & Hardee L.P. v. City of Austin, 394 F.Supp.2d 911, 920 (W.D. Tex. 2005); aff'd in part, rev'd in part, vacated in part by 522 F.3d 533, 538, 556 (5th Cir. 2008).*

5. Avoid cross references and references to specific state law provisions

- **REASON:** City Council or State Legislature may reorganize, renumber, or amend the referenced provisions.
- **RESULT:** references and cross-references become out of date and confusing.

Questions?

- **David S. Johnson**
 - Assistant City Attorney, Arlington
 - 817-459-6878
 - david.s.johnson@arlingtontx.gov